S.B.Cr.Misc. Bail Application No.5551/2007

(Rameswaroop vs. State of Rajasthan)

Date of order : November 15, 2007

HON'BLE MR.H.R.PANWAR,J.

Mr.Vijay Jain, for the petitioner.
Mr.Ashok Upadhyaya, P.P. for the State.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor. Perused the order impugned.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that there is no injury by "Gandasi".

Be that as it may, from perusal of the order impugned, it appears that the petitioner remained absent for about three days for which a salary from the salary bill was deducted by the employer, who is the complainant herein. Thereafter, it is alleged that the petitioner after consuming alcohol again came and started abusing to his superior. One Mala Ram was called and the petitioner was sent back with him. At 10.15 P.M., petitioner again came with his brother Raju and assaulted his superior. In the circumstances, therefore, it is

not at all a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The bail application filed by the petitioner under section 438 Cr.P.C. is, therefore, dismissed.

(H.R.PANWAR),J.

m.asif/-